UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,497	12/30/2005	Allan Dolph Meyer	GRANT-013	8653
7590 02/08/2007 Mark A Wilson Wilson & Ham		EXAMINER		
			PATEL, NIHIR B	
2530 Berryessa San Jose, CA 9			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3772	
		·		
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE.	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/08/2007	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

				6				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/531,497	MEYER ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Nihir Patel	3772					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHI0 - External after af	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES IN THE MAILING THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11.28	<u>3.2006</u> .						
,—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.							
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.							
,	Claim(s) <u>4-16</u> is/are objected to.		•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	۲.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
	<u> </u>		red in this ivational Stage	•				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	gee the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the defailed depice het receiv						
Attach	nt/c)							
Attachme 1) Noti	nt(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)					
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal	Date					
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	i atoni rippioation					
		····						

Application/Control Number: 10/531,497

Art Unit: 3772

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on November 28th, 2006, with respect to claims1-16 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection(s) of the previous office action dating August 22nd, 2006 has been withdrawn.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 10, 11 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hinestroza (US 6,224,018).
- 4. As to claim 1, Hinestroza discloses an apparatus that comprises an elongate base molding forms a forward facing chair 20 (see figures 1 and 2; column 3 lines 55-60) for a user, the chair having a seat 21 (see figures 2 and 3; column 2 lines 55-60) and a back rising from the seat 22 (see figures 2 and 3; column 2 lines 55-60), the base molding has a front portion that extends forward of the chair seat at user foot level (see figures 2 and 3), the base molding has a rear portion that extends upwardly above the back of the chair and above user head level (see figures 2 and 3), the base molding defines a peripheral seal-line 45 that extends around the front

Application/Control Number: 10/531,497

Art Unit: 3772

portion, along each side of the chair and around the rear portion (see figure 4 and column 4 lines 35-45), an elongate canopy extends forward and downward from above user head level at the back portion of the base to the front of the base (see figures 2 and 3), an elongate transparent window is formed in the canopy (see page 4 lines 35-45); the canopy is movable between an open position, where a user can freely move to the chair from the side of the capsule, and a closed position where a seated user is fully enclosed by the base and the canopy (see column 4 lines 25-40) and the canopy defines a peripheral seal-line that is adapted to engage with the base seal-line to form an air tight seal between the canopy and the base when the canopy is in the closed position (since it has a seal; the capsule inherently it is considered to be air tight).

Page 3

- 5. As to claim 2, Hinestroza teaches an apparatus wherein the canopy has a convex external surface that is curved both front to back and side to side, the window also has a convex outer external surface that is curved both front to back and side to side and the window extends at least from user head level to the level of the seat of the chair, when the canopy is closed (see figures 2 and 3).
- 6. As to claim 3, Hinestroza teaches an apparatus that comprises latching means operable from both within and outside the capsule for securing the canopy to the base when the canopy is in the closed position to permit pressurization of the capsule, and for releasing the canopy from the base for movement to the open position (see figures 2 and 3; column 4 lines 25-45).
- 7. As to claim 10, Hinestroza teaches an apparatus wherein the canopy is hingedly attached to the front portion of the base for movement about a transverse horizontal axis (see figures 2 and 3), and gas struts are fitted between the canopy and the base on each side of the front portion

Art Unit: 3772

of the base to counterbalance the weight of the canopy when open or when being opened (see figures 2 and 3).

- 8. As to claim 11, Hinestroza teaches an apparatus wherein a pressure operated lock is provided to prevent the opening of the canopy while there is super atmospheric pressur within the capsule (see figure 3 and column 3; lines 50-67).
- 9. As to claim 16, Hinestroza teaches an apparatus wherein the width of the capsule is less than that of a standard door frame, and the base is fitted with wheels or rollers by which the capsule can be moved to or transported within a domestic location (see figure 3 and column 3; lines 50-67).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims **4-9 and 12-15** are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not teach plurality of latches spaced around the peripheral seal line of the base.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nihir Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4803. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 to 4:30 every other Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Bianco can be reached on (571) 272-4940. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,497

Art Unit: 3772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit 3772

Nihir Patel

PATRICIA BIANCO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 5